

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/593,179	Applicant(s) BRUINSMA, GOSSE B.	
	Examiner Raymond J. Henley III	Art Unit 1614	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(2) Catherine Doyle.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2009

Time: AM (ET)

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Raymond J Henley III/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Doyle authorized the Examiner to cancel claim 20 thus placing the application in condition for allowance. Claim 20 was not formally acted on the merits. The cancellation of claim 20 was made without prejudice to the filing of one or more divisional, continuation or continuation-in-part applications having the present application as the parent..